

RECEIVED  
DPPT NCIC

## I. General Information

02 MAY 30 PM 12: 31

CAS Number: 763-69-9  
 Common Names: Propionic acid, 3-ethoxy-, ethyl ester  
 Ethyl 3-ethoxypropionate  
 Ethyl 3-ethoxypropionate  
 3-Ethoxypropionic acid ethyl ester  
 3-Ethoxypropionic acid ethyl ester  
 Ethoxypropionic acid, ethyl ester  
 Ethyl 3-ethoxypropanoate  
 Ethyl ester of 3-ethoxypropanoic acid  
 EEP

## II. Physical-Chemical Data

## A. Melting Point

<b>Test Substance</b>	
Test substance:	EEP
Remarks:	
<b>Method</b>	
Method:	Estimation
Remarks:	
<b>Results</b>	
Melting point value:	-26.92 °C
Remarks:	
<b>References</b>	MPBPWIN v1.40; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

## B. Boiling Point

<b>Test Substance</b>	
Test substance:	EEP
Remarks:	
<b>Method</b>	
Method:	Estimation
Remarks:	Method was noted to have been an adaptation of Stein & Brown
<b>Results</b>	
Boiling point value:	170.88 °C
Remarks:	
<b>References</b>	MPBPWIN v1.40; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

**C. Vapor Pressure**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP
<b>Method</b> Method: Remarks:	Estimation Mean of Antoine and Grain methods
<b>Results</b> Vapor pressure value: Temperature: Remarks:	1.5 mmHg 25 °C
<b>References</b>	MPBPWIN v1.40; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

**D. Partition Coefficient**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP
<b>Method</b> Method: Remarks:	Estimation
<b>Results</b> Log P <sub>ow</sub> : Remarks:	1.08
<b>References</b>	KOWIN v1.66; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

**E. Water Solubility**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP
<b>Method</b> Method: Remarks:	Estimation
<b>Results</b> Value: Temperature: Description: Remarks:	9,410 mg/L 25 °C Slight (1-10 g/L) A $K_{ow}$ of 1.08 was used in the estimation
<b>References</b>	WSKOW v1.40; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

**III. Environmental Fate Endpoints****A. Photodegradation**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: Remarks:	Estimation Atmospheric oxidation
<b>Results</b> Temperature: Hydroxyl radicals reaction OH Rate constant: Half-life Ozone reaction: Remarks:	25 °C  15.8563 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /molecule-sec 0.675 Days (12-hr day; 1.5x10 <sup>6</sup> OH/cm <sup>3</sup> ) No ozone reaction estimation was noted.
<b>Conclusions</b>	Material is expected to rapidly degrade in the atmosphere.
<b>References</b>	AopWin v1.90; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

**B. Stability in Water**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: Temperature: Remarks:	Estimation Aqueous base/acid-catalyzed hydrolysis 25 °C
<b>Results</b> Total $K_b$ for pH >8: Half-life (pH 8): Half-life (pH 7): Remarks:	$7.802 \times 10^{-2}$ L/mol-sec 102.821 days 2.815 years Material is not readily hydrolyzed by water.
<b>References</b>	HYDROWIN v1.67; Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210.
<b>Other</b>	

### C. Biodegradation

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity >99%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Contact time: Inoculum: Remarks:	OECD: TG-301B and Annex V C.4 Ready biodegradation using the CO <sub>2</sub> evolution test (Modified Sturm) Yes 1996 28-days Activated sludge microorganisms (unacclimated) Five inoculated carboys were used: 2 for the inoculum blank, one for a positive control (sodium benzoate), and two containing test article (tested at 34.8 mg/L; equivalent to 20 mg DOC/L). Microbe count was 10 <sup>6</sup> /ml.
<b>Results</b> Total degradation at test end: Time for 10% degrad.: Does study meet 10-day window criteria: Classification: Breakdown products: Remarks:	60% and 66% (vessel 1 and vessel 2); loss of DOC was 99.9% in both vessels 7-days and 9-days (vessel 1 and vessel 2) No Results indicate material was not readily degraded. Not determined No significant amount of CO <sub>2</sub> was evolved from inoculum blank. Positive controls only reached 58% degradation by Day 14 and 70% by test end. As measured by DOC loss, the test substance was completely lost in 28-days. The contradiction between DOC loss and CO <sub>2</sub> evolution results may be due to the volatility of the test substance. The low CO <sub>2</sub> evolution does not necessarily mean the test substance is not degradable under environmental conditions, or after wastewater treatment.
<b>Conclusions</b>	
<b>Data Quality</b> Remarks:	This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	Determination of Ready Biodegradability (Biotic Degradation) Using the CO <sub>2</sub> Evolution Test (Modified Sturm); Environmental Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-113-970309-A, August 21, 1996.

Other	<p>The below comments are by Ms. Janice M. Beglinger, Biodegradation Area Coordinator Eastman Kodak Company, are to put perspective as to why results from the above summarized study differ from that of one conducted by Union Carbide (UC) summarized below using the same protocol (OECD 301B – Modified Sturm).</p> <p>After reviewing both studies the following findings were noted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The amount of test chemical introduced was not the same. More test chemical was used in the Kodak (104.5 mg/3L) study than the UC (62.2 mg/3L) study. The Kodak study utilized a test concentration of 20 mg dissolved organic carbon/L. This was calculated using the molecular formula and weight of the compound. The UC study used 10 mg/L as organic carbon. The mg organic carbon was calculated using the analyzed value of the soluble organic carbon concentration of a 1000 mg/L stock solution.</li> <li>2. The inoculum suspended solids (ss) concentrations differed. The Kodak test used 100 mL of inoculum at 24.7 mg/L ss per test vessel. The inoculum was prepared from mixed liquor supernatant. The UC study was inoculated to 30 mg/L ss with a bacterial seed suspension prepared from mixed liquor. The total volume used was not noted.</li> </ol> <p>It is possible that the combination of less chemical with a higher concentration of suspended solids would account for the difference between studies.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The inoculum itself could also account for a difference in overall degradation rates. Differences between bacterial populations could account for differences between laboratories, as they would not be homogenous. It is also possible that inoculum preparation procedures varied (between laboratories) as the OECD Guidelines allow for several variations.</li> </ol> <p>It should be noted that in addition to CO<sub>2</sub> evolution, the Kodak test also used dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis. DOC analysis is a direct measure, while CO<sub>2</sub> evolution is an indirect measure. At test end, loss of DOC for the Positive Control (sodium benzoate) was 99.8%. Loss of DOC for the test chemical was 99.9%. CO<sub>2</sub> evolution at test end for the Positive Control, Test vessel #1, and Test vessel #2 were 70%, 60%, and 66%, respectively.</p> <p>EEP was also the subject of a Zahn-Wellens study conducted at Kodak in 1995 (summarized below). The test was ended after 23 days resulting in 98% degradation. Test chemicals giving a result of greater than 20% loss of DOC in this test may be regarded as inherently biodegradable, whereas a result of greater than 70% loss of DOC is evidence of ultimate biodegradability. It should be noted that the inoculum was not acclimated for this study.</p> <p>In conclusion, test results of the UC study, DOC results from the Kodak study, and the 1995 Zahn-Wellens test conducted by Kodak, all indicate EEP Solvent may be classified as readily biodegradable.</p>
-------	---

<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Contact time:  Inoculum:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  Total degradation at test end:  Time for 10% degrad.:  Does study meet 10-day window criteria:  Classification:  Breakdown products:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p> <p><b>Data Quality</b>  Remarks:</p> <p><b>References</b></p> <p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>EEP  Purity unknown</p> <p>OECD: TG-301B and OPPTS 835.3110  Ready biodegradation using the CO<sub>2</sub> evolution test (Modified Sturm)  Unknown  1997  28-Day  Activated sludge microorganisms  Inoculum source was from the South Charleston, WV Wastewater Treatment Works, stock solution 1000 mg/L, stock DOC 482 mg/L, stock added/3L was 62.2 ml, product added/3L was 62.2 mg, carbon added 30.0 mg, test was completed in duplicate.</p> <p>100% (Day 18)  &lt;6 days  Yes  Results indicate material was readily biodegradable  Not determined  No significant amount of CO<sub>2</sub> was evolved from inoculum blank.</p> <p>Material is readily degraded by wastewater microbes</p> <p>Biodegradation testing of selected glycol ethers by carbon dioxide evolution test procedures; Union Carbide Corporation, September 24, 1998; File No.: 43290.</p>
--	--

<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Contact time:  Inoculum:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  Degradation %:  Time for 10% degrad.:  Classification:  Breakdown products:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p> <p><b>Data Quality</b>  Remarks:</p> <p><b>References</b></p> <p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>EEP  Purity &gt;99%</p> <p>OECD: TG-302B  Zahn-Wellens/EMPA test for inherent biodegradability  Yes  1995  23-days  Mixed-liquor suspended solids; unacclimated  Test article (50 mg DOC/L) and positive control were run in duplicate using 2L Erlenmeyer flask. Another flask was used as a blank control. Test solutions were agitated with magnetic stir bars and protected from light by aluminum foil. Dissolved oxygen, pH, and DOC analysis were determined on days 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 14, 17, and 23.</p> <p>98% decrease in DOC (Day 23)  &lt; 1-day  Material is inherently biodegradable under the definition of this test.  Not determined  Positive control had a DOC removal exceeding 70% within 14-days. This fulfills the requirements of a valid test. No protocol deviations were noted.</p> <p>Results indicate material would not be expected to be persistent in the environment. Test article does not require any European Union labeling statement relating to long-term effects.</p> <p>This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.</p> <p>Determination of Inherent Biodegradability (Biotic Degradation) Using the Zahn/Wellens/EMPA Test; Environmental Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-111-970309-1, April 17, 1996.</p>
---	---



<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Contact time:  Inoculum:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  Degradation %:  Time for 10% degrad.:  Classification:  Breakdown products:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p> <p><b>Data Quality</b>  Remarks:</p> <p><b>References</b></p> <p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>EEP  Purity &gt;99%</p> <p>OECD: TG-301E and EEC/Annex V Guideline C.3  Ready Biodegradability  Yes  1991  28-days  Unacclimated microorganisms from secondary wastewater effluent  Test article was evaluated in duplicate with results averaged. The concentration of DOC (Day 0: 21.5 mg/L) was determined for each vessel on Days 0, 7, 14, 21, 27, and 28. Sterile chemical control DOC was analyzed at the start and on Day 28. Dissolved oxygen and pH were assessed at time 0 and on Day 28. A positive control of Sodium benzoate was used to validate the test system. Another flask was used as a blank control. All test flasks were oscillated (100 rpm) in the dark at a temperature of 20-25 °C.</p> <p>43% decrease in DOC (Day 28)  &lt; 7-Days  Material is moderately biodegradable under the definition of this test.  Not determined  Positive control had a DOC removal exceeding 90% at Day 7. This fulfills the requirements of a valid test. No protocol deviations were noted.</p> <p>Under condition of this assay the material appears to have a moderate potential to be degraded in the environment</p> <p>This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.</p> <p>Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-102-906315-1, June 17, 1991.</p>
---	---

**D. Transport between Environmental Compartments (Fugacity)**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP										
<b>Method</b> Test type: Model used: Remarks:	Estimation Level III Fugacity Model; EPIWIN:EQC from Syracuse Research Corporation										
<b>Results</b> Model data and results: Estimated distribution and media concentration (levels II/III):  Remarks:	<table><thead><tr><th></th><th>Concentration (%)</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Air</td><td>1.59</td></tr><tr><td>Water</td><td>50.5</td></tr><tr><td>Soil</td><td>47.8</td></tr><tr><td>Sediment</td><td>0.093</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>Physical chemical values utilized in this model were default values obtained from the EPIWIN program.</p>		Concentration (%)	Air	1.59	Water	50.5	Soil	47.8	Sediment	0.093
	Concentration (%)										
Air	1.59										
Water	50.5										
Soil	47.8										
Sediment	0.093										
<b>Data Quality</b> Remarks:											
<b>References</b>	Meylan, W. (1993). User's Guide for the Estimation Programs Interface (EPI), Version 3.10, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, New York 13210. The Level III model incorporated into EPIWIN is a Syracuse Research Corporation adaptation of the methodology described by Mackay <i>et al.</i> 1996; <i>Environ. Toxicol. Chem.</i> 15(9), 1618-1626 and <i>Environ. Toxicol. Chem.</i> 15(9), 1627-1637.										
<b>Other</b>											

#### IV. Ecotoxicity

##### A. Acute Toxicity to Fish

<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Species/strain:  Analytical monitoring:  Exposure period:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  Observations on precipitation:</p> <p>Nominal concentration:  Measured concentration:</p> <p>Endpoint value:</p> <p>Biological observations:</p> <p>Statistical methods:</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p>	<p>EEP  Purity was &gt;99%</p> <p>OECD: TG-203 and EEC/Annex V C.1.  Acute lethality  Yes  1994  Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>)  Yes; Exposure solutions, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen  96-Hour; static  Study was conducted in duplicate with 10 fish/concentration with a loading rate of &lt; 1 g/L. The photoperiod consisted of 16-hours on and 8-hours off with a 20-minute transition period.</p> <p>No precipitation was noted. However, although the water was initially clear, test tanks became cloudy at 72-hours at exposure levels of 34.5 and 61.5 mg/L (replicates A and B) and at 111 mg/L in replicate B. By 96-hours, the two lower levels became slightly cloudy. Interestingly, cloudiness was not reported at the highest concentration level or at 111 mg/L in replicate A.</p> <p>10.5, 19, 34.5, 61.5, 111, 200 mg/L  Test A: 9.5, 13.2, 25.4, 46.4, 100.1, 174.0 mg/L  Test B: 9.4, 13.4, 23.8, 44.2, 83.2, 174.4 mg/L  Test A: LC<sub>50</sub> 55.3 mg/L; NOEC 25.4 mg/L  Test B: LC<sub>50</sub> 45.3 mg/L; NOEC 23.8 mg/L</p> <p>Normal behavior and appearance was noted in all fish at all time points exposed to 34.5 mg/L and below. Deaths and decreased activity were noted in a dose-dependent manner at levels of 61.5 and above.</p> <p>LC<sub>50</sub> calculations were determined by: (1) Stephan, C.E. 1977. Methods for Calculating an LC<sub>50</sub>. In: F.L. Mayer and J.L. Hamelink, Eds., <u>Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation</u>, Spec. Tech. Publ. No. 634, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 65-84. (2) American Society for Testing and Materials. 1988. Proposed New Standard Practice for Using Probit Analysis. ASTM E-47.07. Draft#4. June, 1988.</p> <p>No significant protocol deviations were noted. Water temp remained at 20 +/- 1 °C, The extremes for pH ranged from 7.48 to 8.48 and dissolved oxygen ranged from 5.1 – 9.0 mg/L.</p> <p>The 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> value indicates that the test substance would be assigned the risk phrase “harmful to aquatic organisms” according to the European Union’s labeling directive and would correspond to a “moderate concern level” according to the U.S. EPA’s assessment criteria.</p>
---	---

<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	An Acute Aquatic Effects Test with the Fathead Minnow; Environmental Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-430-970309-I, July 7, 1995.
<b>Other</b>	Data from a study completed by the Union Carbide Company using similar methodologies indicated the 96-hour LC50 was 90 mg/L and the NOEC was 62.5 mg/L. These values are very comparable to what is summarized above.

**B. Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was >99%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Analytical procedures:  Test details: Remarks:	OECD: TG-202 and EEC/Annex V C.2 Acute immobilization Yes 1994 <i>Daphnia magna</i> Aliquots of exposure solution were submitted for concentration determinations at 0 and 48 hours. Temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH were also determined at these same time periods. 48-hour exposure period; static Study was conducted in duplicate
<b>Results</b> Nominal concentration: Measured concentration:  Endpoint value:   Biological observations:  Statistical methods:  Remarks:	95.0, 171.5, 308.5, 555.5, and 1000 mg/L Test A: 70.2, 133.1, 245.7, 479.7, and 911.1 mg/L Test B: 67.2, 136.1, 260.9, 461.4, 918.7 mg/L Test A(48 hr): EC <sub>50</sub> >479.7 mg/L; NOEC 479.7 mg/L Test B(48 hr): EC <sub>50</sub> 785.0 mg/L; NOEC 461.4 mg/L  Daphnids exhibited behavior comparable to controls at a test concentration of 555.5 mg/L and below. Depressed activity and immobilization was noted only at the 1000 mg/L level and primarily at the 24 hour and 48 hour observation periods.  LC <sub>50</sub> calculations were determined by: (1) Stephan, C.E. 1977. Methods for Calculating an LC <sub>50</sub> . In: F.L. Mayer and J.L. Hamelink, Eds., <u>Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation</u> , Spec. Tech. Publ. No. 634, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 65-84. (2) American Society for Testing and Materials. 1988. Proposed New Standard Practice for Using Probit Analysis. ASTM E-47.07. Draft#4. June, 1988.  Minor protocol deviations were noted. However, they were either deemed as insignificant and would not have affected study outcome, or their impact would have actually lead to more conservative final values. Water temp remained at 19 °C, The extremes for pH ranged from 7.7 to 8.1 and dissolved oxygen ranged from 7.6 – 9.2 mg/L.
<b>Conclusions</b>	The 48-hour EC <sub>50</sub> value indicates that the test substance would not require any labeling pertaining aquatic toxicity according to the European Union's labeling directive and would correspond to a "low concern level" according to the U.S. EPA's assessment criteria.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	An Acute Aquatic Effects Test with the Daphnid; Environmental Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, at Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-431-970309-1; July 25, 1995.
<b>Other</b>	

### C. Toxicity to Aquatic Plants

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was >99%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Endpoint basis: Exposure period: Analytical procedures:  Remarks:	OECD: TG-201 and EEC/Annex V C.3 Growth inhibition limit test with the alga Yes 2000 <i>Selenastrum capricornutum</i> Cell concentrations (biomass) and growth rate 72-hours, static Temperature, light intensity, rpm, and test substance concentration were assessed at the 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours. The pH was assessed at time 0 and after 72 hours. The concentration of algae was set at $10^4$ cells/ml.
<b>Results</b> Nominal concentration: Measured concentration:  Endpoint value:  NOEC: Biological observations: Was control response satisfactory: Statistical methods: Remarks:	120 mg/L 118.82 mg/L 0 hours and 114.86 mg/L (geometric mean concentration over the 3 days) The estimated $E_bC_{50}$ and $E_rC_{50}$ were not determined as there was no effect on algae growth. >114.86 mg/L (72 hr) No deformed cells were noted Yes (culture concentrations increased by a factor of 72-fold) NA (no effects were seen at highest exposure concentration) A mean illumination of 754 +/- 13.7 foot-candles was maintained. The mean temperature was 24°C and pH ranged from 7.4 to 7.9. Cultures were oscillated at 100 rpm. There was a 7.2% loss of test material over the 72-hour period.
<b>Conclusions</b>	The 72-hour $E_bC_{50}$ and $E_rC_{50}$ values indicate that, based on this study, the test substance would not be classified as “harmful to aquatic organisms” according to the European Union’s labeling directive and would be classified in a “low concern” category according to the U.S. EPA’s assessment criteria.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD-study conducted under GLP assurances
<b>References</b>	A Growth Inhibition Test with the Alga, <i>Selenastrum capricornutum</i> ; Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No. EN-512-906315-A, January 30, 2001.
<b>Other</b>	

## V. Toxicological Data

### A. Acute Toxicity

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity 99.9%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Sex: Animals/sex/dose: Vehicle: Route of exposure: Remarks:	Acute toxicity; OECD: TG-401 (dated May 12, 1981) LD <sub>50</sub> estimate Yes 1986 Rat/CRL:CD (SD) Male and Female 5 None Oral Only a single dose of 5,000 mg/kg was utilized; study also included histopathology on many tissues including those of the central nervous system.
<b>Results</b> Value: Deaths at each dose: Remarks:	LD <sub>50</sub> >5,000 mg/kg males LD <sub>50</sub> 3200-5,000 mg/kg females No males died; 3 females died (2 on Day 1 and one on Day 2) Males: All demonstrated slight weakness and ataxia on Day 1 after dosing. On Day 2, and subsequent days, no abnormal clinical sign were noted, and all had normal weight gains. Females: No abnormal clinical signs were observed on the day of dosing. The next day, 2 animals were found dead and the remaining three exhibited signs of moderate to severe weakness and ataxia. A third animal died during the night between Days 1 and 2. On Day 2 the remaining animals had slight weakness, but were clinically normal on all subsequent days and demonstrated normal weight gain. The cause of death of the three females was not evident. There were no test article induced changes in any of several organs and tissues removed from the seven rats that survived till experimental termination. There was no evidence of neurotoxicity based on an absence of lesions in the brain, spinal cord, peripheral nerves, dorsal root ganglia, skeletal muscle, and neural tissue present in visceral organs. The LD <sub>50</sub> range listed for females is from 3200 – 5000 mg/kg based on the results of another study (not reported) in which no females died following an acute oral exposure of 3,200 mg/kg.
<b>Conclusions</b>	Material is considered slightly toxic
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restriction This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	Acute oral toxicity study of ethyl-3-ethoxypropionate; Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; HAEL No.: 85-0044; June 26, 1986.
<b>Other</b>	Supplemental data from a study completed by the Union Carbide Company indicated the oral LD <sub>50</sub> for male rats was 6.63 ml/kg and 5.41 ml/kg for females. (UCAR Ester EEP acute toxicity and primary irritancy studies Bushy Run Research Center; Project report 50-84; June 5 1987.)

<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Species/strain:  Sex:  Animals/sex/dose:  Vehicle:  Route of exposure:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  Value:  Deaths at each dose:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p> <p><b>Data Quality</b>  Reliability:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>References</b></p> <p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>EEP  99.8%</p> <p>Acute toxicity; Other  LC<sub>50</sub> estimate  Yes  1983  Rat/COBS:CD(SD)BR  Male  4/dose  None  Inhalation  Rats were exposed in 20-L glass bell jars for a single 6-hour period to 0, 500 or 1000 ppm (actual levels were 481 and 998 ppm) EEP as a vapor. They were subsequently held for 14-days for observation and weight gain analysis. Test material air concentration and temperature within the chamber was quantified hourly. Gross pathologic examinations were conducted at study termination.</p> <p>LC<sub>50</sub>&gt;998 ppm; 5,967 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (males)  There were no deaths at any exposure level  Body weight gain was comparable to controls. Clinical signs consisted of minimal (500 ppm) and minor (1000 ppm) lethargy and decreased aural investigatory reflex (both groups) during exposure. Animals were void of any gross lesions at terminal necropsy.</p> <p>Reliable without restriction  This was a well-documented OECD-like guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.</p> <p>LC<sub>50</sub> inhalation study of compound ethyl-3-ethoxypropionate; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; HS&amp;HFL No. 83-0169; December 9, 1983.</p>
--	--



**B. Repeated Dose Toxicity**

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was 99.9%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Route of exposure: Duration of test: Dose levels: Sex: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Control group and treatment: Post-exposure observation period: Remarks:	OECD: TG-407 Repeated oral-dose toxicity Yes 1986 Rat/CRL:CD(SD) Oral intubation 28-Days 0, 100, 1000 mg/kg Male and Female; 5/dose level Single daily gavage 5 days/week  Yes; Distilled water  None Due to gavage error-induced deaths, 2 animals in the high-dose group were replaced with animals of comparable age and weight on Day 3.
<b>Results</b> NOAEL (NOEL): Toxic responses by dose:  Statistical methods:  Remarks:	100 mg/kg NOEL There was no test material-induced mortality or clinical signs. Weight gain and feed intake were also not significantly impacted by test article. There were no alterations in the hematological parameters assessed and organ weights, nor were any lesions noted after gross or microscopic examination. The only effect noted in this study were an increase in serum enzymes (AST and SDH) and creatinine levels in animals receiving 1000 mg/kg. One-way ANOVA, Bartlett's test, and Duncan's multiple range test using a p value of <0.05 to indicate statistical significance.
<b>Conclusions</b>	Material was well tolerated with minor effects noted on liver enzymes and creatinine levels not accompanied by alterations in morphological appearance of any organ examined.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable with restriction Although this was an OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances, the study report was somewhat lacking in detail.
<b>References</b>	Four-Week Oral Toxicity Study of Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate in the Rat; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study No.: 850044G3; March 16, 1986.
<b>Other</b>	

<p><b>Test Substance</b>  Test substance:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Method</b>  Method:  Test type:  GLP:  Year:  Species/strain:  Route of exposure:  Duration of test:  Dose levels:  Sex:  Exposure period:  Frequency of treatment:  Control group and treatment:  Post-exposure observation period:  Remarks:</p> <p><b>Results</b>  NOAEL (NOEL):  Actual doses received:  Toxic responses by dose:</p> <p>Statistical methods:</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b></p>	<p>EEP  Purity was &gt;99%</p> <p>Methods were comparable to OECD: TG-413  Subchronic inhalation toxicity  Yes  1986  Rat/CRL:CD(SD)BR  Inhalation  90-Days  0, 250, 500, 1000 ppm  Male and female; 15/dose level  6 hours/day  5 days/week</p> <p>Controls exposed to filtered room air and were otherwise treated similarly</p> <p>None  Test atmosphere was in vapor form</p> <p>250 ppm; 1,495 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  0, 251, 510, 996 ppm  One female exposed to 1000 ppm died on day 54. The cause was not determined due to autolysis. Body weight: Statistically significant decreases in body weight at termination were noted in mid- and high-dose animals of both sexes. Clinical signs: The only major observation noted was signs of irritation manifested as lacrimation, sialorrhea, red or brown discoloration of facial hair, and unkempt appearance. The severity was noted to be minimal and was seen in both sexes. Lethargy was noted in high-dose animals and only occurred during the first few exposures. Hematology: The only effect noted was a slight increase (biologically insignificant) in lymphocyte percentage in high-dose females. Clinical chemistry: High-dose males had a slight, but statistically significant, decrease in serum glucose and increase in creatinine level. High-dose females also showed this effect in creatinine. Additional test-article related changes in females consisted of a dose responsive increase in alkaline phosphatase (significant at 500 and 1000 ppm). Organ effects: There were no statistically significant changes in organ weights or any histopathological changes to suggest a test article-induced toxicity.</p> <p>One-way ANOVA, Bartlett's test, and Duncan's multiple range test using a p value of &lt;0.05 to indicate statistical significance.</p>
---	---

<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restriction This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	90-Day Inhalation Toxicity Study of Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate in the Rat; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Experiment No.: 85004411; June 30, 1986.
<b>Other</b>	

### C. Genetic Toxicity - Mutation

<b>Test Substance</b>	
Test substance:	EEP
Remarks:	Purity was 99.8%
<b>Method</b>	
Method:	Other; OECD: TG-471-like
Test type:	<i>In vitro</i> mutagenicity
GLP:	Yes
Year:	1986
Species/strain:	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> (strains: TA98, 100, 1535, 1537, and 1538)
Metabolic activation:	Yes; rat liver S9
Concentration tested:	Maximum concentration tested was 15000 ug/plate
Remarks:	Positive controls (2-aminoanthracene, sodium azide, 9-aminoacridine, picrolonic acid, and ICR-191) were run concurrently. Negative control was the test vehicle dimethylsulfoxide. The test article was plated in triplicate. A chemical is considered positive when all criteria are met and there is a reproducible dose-response relationship that exceeds 10 <sup>3</sup> revertants/nanomole.
<b>Results</b>	
Result:	No positive responses were induced by EEP in any of the tester strains
Cytotoxic concentration:	Cytotoxicity began at 3164 ug/plate and showed a 26% decrease at 10,000 ug/plate (-S9) and a 16% decrease with S9 activation.
Precipitation concentration:	No precipitate was observed at maximum concentration tested.
Genotoxic effects	
With activation:	Negative
Without activation:	Negative
Statistical methods:	Means and standard deviations were determined for each of the dosing regimens; then each mean was assessed for significance using Student's t-test.
Remarks:	Further statistical analyses were outlined but were not needed due to the absence of an increase in the number of revertants colonies at any dose beyond the positive control.
<b>Conclusions</b>	Material was not genotoxic under conditions of this assay.
<b>Data Quality</b>	
Reliability:	Reliable without restrictions
Remarks:	This was a well-documented OECD-like guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	Evaluation of Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate in the Salmonella/Microsome Mutagenicity Assay; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; HAEL No.: 83-0169; January 26, 1986.
<b>Other</b>	

#### D. Genetic Toxicity – Chromosomal Aberrations

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was >99%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Route of exposure: Concentration tested: Metabolic activation: Remarks:	OECD: TG-473 Aberration assay in CHO cells Yes 2000 Chinese hamster ovary cells <i>In vitro</i> Up to 1500 ug/ml (this is >10 mM, the assay maximum) Yes; Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver S9 Positive controls consisted of Mitomycin C (-S9) and cyclophosphamide (+S9). 200 cells per concentration were evaluated and each concentration had two replicates.
<b>Results</b> Result:  Cytotoxic concentration: Precipitation concentration: Genotoxic effects With activation: Without activation: Statistical methods:  Remarks:	No significant increases in cells with chromosomal aberrations, polyploidy, or endoreduplication were observed. >1500 mg/ml, the maximum dose tested No precipitate was observed at maximum concentration tested. Negative Negative Statistical analysis employed a Cochran-Armitage test for linear trends and Fisher's Exact Test to compare the percentage of cells with aberrations.
<b>Conclusions</b>	Material was not genotoxic under conditions of this assay.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells with EC2000-0201, EEP; Covance Laboratories Inc., Vienna, VA; Study number: 21202-0-437OECD; April 6, 2000.
<b>Other</b>	

## E. Developmental Toxicity

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was 99.7%
<b>Method</b> Method: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Sex: Route of exposure: Exposure levels: Actual exposure levels: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Control group and treatment: Remarks:	This study essentially followed current OECD: TG-414 guidelines Yes 1983/1984 Rat/COBS:CD(SD)BR Females; 25/exposure level Inhalation 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 ppm 0, 123, 245, 500, 975 ppm 6 hours/day Days 6-15 of gestation  Filtered room air Groups of 45-day old males and females were housed 1:1 over a four-day mating period. Animals were exposed to test article as a vapor on days 6-15 of gestation using whole-body inhalation chambers. Exposure conditions were well monitored. Maternal body weight and food consumption was monitored regularly. All dams were monitored daily (except weekends) for behavioral changes. On Day 20 dams were euthanized by CO <sub>2</sub> . Hematological and clinical chemistry analyses were conducted on 10 randomly chosen animals. The uterine horns were removed and implantation sites examined. Ovaries were examined and corpora lutea quantified. A gross examination was conducted on the visceral and thoracic cavities and the liver, kidneys, spleen, and thymus were weighed and microscopically examined. A section of the femur and mesenteric lymph nodes were also removed for histological examination. Viable fetuses were removed, weighed, sexed, and examined for gross abnormalities. They were divided in two and fixed appropriately for either internal soft tissue examinations or for skeletal defects.
<b>Results</b> Maternal toxicity NOEL: NOEL for teratogenicity: NOEL for fetotoxicity: Maternal toxic responses by dose:	125 ppm, 747 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 1000 ppm; 5,979 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 500 ppm; 2,990 mg/m <sup>3</sup>  Absolute body weights were lower in dams exposed to 500 and 1000 ppm during gestation days 6-16, while terminal (Day 19) weights were comparable to control. While maternal weight gain and food consumption during Days 6-16 were significantly lower at exposure levels of 250 ppm and higher. Clinical signs of toxicity were only noted in the 1000 ppm group and consisted of lethargy, salivation, and reddish discoloration of facial hair. There were no significant effects seen in the hematological parameters, clinical chemistries, or visceral organs weighed or microscopically examined.

Fetal toxic responses by dose:	<p>No differences were noted in any of the reproductive indices, or in fetal body weight or sex ratios. External, internal soft tissue and skeletal examinations of the fetuses revealed no treatment-related major malformations in any exposed groups. Slight increases in the incidence of some minor internal soft tissue alterations and skeletal variants indicative of slight fetotoxicity were seen in litters exposed to 1000 ppm. The appearance of rudimentary thoracolumbar ribs (14<sup>th</sup>) was also increased in litters exposed to 1000 ppm. Continuous data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA and Duncan's Multiple Range test. Homogeneity of variance was tested by Bartlett's test. Incidence data were compared using Chi-square contingency tables and each test group was compared to control using Fisher's Exact Test.</p> <p>It was concluded that EEP was not teratogenic. While slight evidence of fetotoxicity was noted, this occurred at levels that induced significant maternal toxicity (1000 ppm).</p> <p>Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD-like study conducted under GLP assurances</p> <p>The Developmental Toxicity of Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate in the Rat; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Study: 83-0169-2; June 25, 1984.</p>
Statistical methods:	
Remarks:	
<b>Conclusions</b>	
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	
<b>References</b>	
<b>Other</b>	

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was 99.9%
<b>Method</b> Method:  GLP: Year: Species/strain: Sex: Route of exposure: Exposure levels: Actual exposure levels: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Control group and treatment: Remarks:	“New and Revised Health Effects Test Guideline” EPA 560/6-84-002 and was also conducted in general agreement with that for an “Inhalation Developmental Toxicity Study” (HG-Organ/Tissue-Dev Tox-Inhal, October 1984). Yes 1986 Rabbit/New Zealand White Female; 18/exposure level Inhalation 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 ppm 0, 124, 247, 498, 997 ppm 6 hours/day Days 6-18 of gestation  Filtered room air The methodology followed in this study is essentially identical to that of OECD: TG-414 guidelines. The liver, kidneys, spleen, and thymus were weighed and microscopically examined. A section of the femur and mesenteric lymph nodes, along with any gross lesions were also removed for histological examination. Test atmosphere was in vapor form.
<b>Results</b> Maternal toxicity NOAEL: Developmental toxicity NOAEL: Maternal toxic responses by dose:  Fetal toxic responses by dose:  Statistical methods:	125 ppm; 747 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 1000 ppm; 5,979 mg/m <sup>3</sup>  No mortalities were noted. Pregnancy rates and the incidence of pregnancies lost to abortion or premature delivery were comparable between all groups. No adverse effects due to treatment were noted in maternal hematology, clinical chemistry data, organ weights, or in organs examined grossly or microscopically. A reduction in food consumption was seen on Days 6 and 7 at 250 and 500, and 1000 ppm. Several females at 500 and 1000 ppm were reported to have excessive lacrimation on the first day of exposure. Excessive salivation on the first day of exposure was also noted at 1000 ppm. Decreased body weight gain was noted for Days 6-9 and 6-18 in animals exposed to 1000 ppm. No treatment-related external, internal soft tissue, or skeletal anomalies were seen at any exposure concentration in the harvested fetuses. Homogeneity of variance was tested by Bartlett’s test followed by parametric or non-parametric procedures if variances were equal or not respectively. Parametric data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA followed by either Dunnett’s test. Non-parametric results utilized Kruskal-Wallis test and a summed rank test (Dunn) to determine which treatment differed from control. A test for trend in dose levels was also performed with standard regression (parametric data) or Jonckheere’s test in the non-parametric cases. All ratios were transformed via the arc sine transformation prior to analysis. Incidence data were compared using Chi-square contingency tables and each test group was compared to control using Fisher’s Exact test. The significance level was corrected via the Bonferroni inequality to assure an overall test of the stated significance level. Thirdly, Armitage’s test for linear trend in the dosage groups was performed.



Remarks:	
<b>Conclusions</b>	It was concluded that EEP was not teratogenic or fetotoxic. Slight evidence of maternal toxicity was noted at 1000 ppm.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions This was a well-documented OECD-like guideline study conducted under GLP assurances.
<b>References</b>	An Inhalation Developmental Toxicity Study in Rabbits with Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate; Bio/Dynamics Inc. East Millstone, NJ; Project No.: 86-3035; March 17, 1987.
<b>Other</b>	

## F. Toxicity to Reproduction

<b>Test Substance</b> Test substance: Remarks:	EEP Purity was >99%
<b>Method</b> Method: Test type: GLP: Year: Species/strain: Route of exposure: Duration of test: Exposure levels: Sex: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Control group and treatment: Post-exposure observation period: Remarks:	Methods were comparable to OECD: TG-413 Subchronic inhalation toxicity Yes 1986 Rat/CRL:CD(SD)BR Inhalation 90-Days 0, 250, 500, 1000 ppm Male and female; 15/exposure level 6 hours/day 5 days/week  Controls exposed to filtered room air and were otherwise treated similarly  None Testes and ovaries were weighed at time of necropsy. Testes, epididymides, male accessory sex gland, ovaries, vagina, uterus, and fallopian tubes were examined microscopically. Test atmosphere was in vapor form.
<b>Results</b> NOAEL: Actual exposure levels: Toxic responses by dose:  Statistical methods:  Remarks:	>1000 ppm; 5,979 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 0, 251, 510, 996 ppm There were no statistically significant changes in any of the weighed reproductive organs, nor were there any histopathological changes in any reproductive organs examined. One-way ANOVA, Bartlett's test, and Duncan's multiple range test using a p value of <0.05 to indicate statistical significance.
<b>Conclusions</b>	No evidence of toxicity to the reproductive organs was noted.
<b>Data Quality</b> Reliability: Remarks:	Reliable with restriction This was a well-documented OECD guideline study conducted under GLP assurances. The study only assessed reproductive organ weight and histology.
<b>References</b>	90-Day Inhalation Toxicity Study of Ethyl-3-Ethoxypropionate in the Rat; Toxicological Sciences Section, Health and Environment Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY; Experiment No.: 85004411; June 30, 1986.
<b>Other</b>	